

THE POLITICS OF STATE SURVIVAL AND THE CHALLENGES OF NATION-BUILDING IN POST-COLONIAL NIGERIA

Adiele, Ikechukwu J. Ph.D

Department of Political, Abia State University, Uturu

+2348055083565

Iykeadiele1@gmail.com

&

Ikechukwu Emmanuel Uvere Ph.D

Department of History and International Studies, Gregory University, Uturu,

Abia State, Nigeria

+2348063240375, 2348121298775

i.uvere@gregoryuniversityuturu.edu.ng

Abstract

Since gaining independence in 1960, Nigeria has grappled with the twin challenges of state survival and nation-building. The country inherited weak institutions, deep ethnic and religious divisions, and an economy vulnerable to external pressures. While state survival requires political stability, territorial integrity, and national sovereignty, persistent issues such as ethno-religious conflicts, governance deficits, and economic instability continue to threaten its cohesion. Similarly, nation-building, aimed at fostering a shared national identity, remains an elusive goal due to historical divisions and governance failures. This study explores the interplay between state survival and nation-building in Nigeria, identifying governance contradictions, political instability, and socio-economic disparities as key impediments. The research employs a qualitative methodology, drawing on historical analysis and secondary data to assess governance structures and national integration efforts. Anchored in the post-colonial state theory, the study interrogates how colonial legacies and institutional weaknesses shape Nigeria's present challenges. The findings reveal that the lack of inclusive governance, weak institutional frameworks, and economic inequality exacerbate national disunity and instability. The study underscores the significance of political restructuring, equitable governance, and sustainable economic policies in addressing these issues. It concludes that meaningful reforms—centered on decentralization, participatory governance, and inclusive economic development—are essential for national cohesion and long-term stability. To this end, the study recommends constitutional reforms to enhance federalism, policies that promote social inclusion, and economic strategies that reduce regional disparities. These measures are crucial in fostering a united and resilient Nigerian state.

Keywords: State Survival, Nation-Building, Post-Colonialism, Governance, Political Stability

Introduction

Nigeria's post-colonial journey has been profoundly shaped by the twin imperatives of state survival and nation-building. The colonial imposition of artificial boundaries and a centralized governance model created a fragile political foundation, which has persisted as a source of instability¹. At independence in 1960, the country inherited a fragmented political structure characterized by ethnic, religious, and regional divisions, setting the stage for recurrent governance challenges. Successive administrations have struggled to navigate these complexities, with military coups, political upheavals, and systemic governance failures further deepening Nigeria's institutional weaknesses².

Despite maintaining its territorial integrity, Nigeria continues to grapple with existential threats that challenge its sovereignty and internal cohesion³. Secessionist movements, particularly in the Southeast, the Boko Haram insurgency in the Northeast, rampant armed banditry in the Northwest, and recurring ethnic and communal clashes across various regions have severely tested the resilience of the Nigerian state³. These crises, often exacerbated by weak governance structures and socio-economic disparities, highlight the fragile nature of the country's statehood.

Simultaneously, the quest for nation-building remains a daunting challenge. Ethnic-based political alignments, economic inequalities, and governance deficits have undermined efforts to foster a unified national identity⁴. The persistence of ethnic loyalties over national allegiance reflects the enduring struggle to build a cohesive Nigerian state.

This paper critically examines the contradictions inherent in Nigeria's post-colonial governance, analyzing how these issues impact both state survival and the nation-building process. It explores the intersection of governance, security, and identity politics, offering insights into the structural deficiencies that perpetuate instability and disunity.

Conceptual Clarifications

The interplay between state survival and nation-building in post-colonial Nigeria is shaped by historical legacies, political dynamics, and socio-economic challenges⁵. While the Nigerian state has maintained its territorial integrity, the persistent struggle to forge a unified national identity underscores the contradictions inherent in its governance structure⁶. To fully grasp the complexities of this discourse, it is essential to clarify key concepts, including state survival, nation-building, post-colonialism, governance, and political stability.

State Survival

State survival refers to the ability of a political entity to maintain its sovereignty, territorial integrity, and institutional legitimacy in the face of internal and external threats⁷. In Nigeria, state survival has been tested by secessionist agitations, insurgencies, ethnic conflicts, and governance deficits⁸. Despite these challenges, the country has endured, largely due to a combination of military interventions, constitutional reforms, and elite bargaining processes that have prevented total collapse⁹.

Nation-Building

Nation-building involves the deliberate efforts to foster a common national identity, promote social cohesion, and integrate diverse ethnic and religious groups within a unified political framework¹⁰. In post-colonial Nigeria, this process has been complicated by ethnic-based politics, economic marginalization, and governance failures¹¹. Rather than achieving national unity, successive governments have struggled to reconcile the competing interests of various ethnic groups, leading to recurring political instability¹².

Post-Colonialism

Post-colonialism refers to the socio-political and economic conditions of states that emerged from colonial rule¹³. In Nigeria, colonial policies of indirect rule and ethnic division created structural imbalances that continue to shape contemporary governance challenges¹⁴. The legacy of colonialism is evident in the country's centralized federal structure, weak institutions, and the persistence of ethno-regional competition for power¹⁵.

Governance

Governance entails the structures, processes, and mechanisms through which authority is exercised in managing state affairs¹⁶. Effective governance is crucial for both state survival and nation-building, as it determines the extent to which political leaders can address economic disparities, security threats, and institutional weaknesses¹⁷. However, in Nigeria, governance has often been characterized by corruption, weak rule of law, and elite dominance, further exacerbating national disunity¹⁸.

Political Stability

Political stability refers to the absence of violent conflicts, institutional breakdowns, and disruptions in governance¹⁹. In Nigeria, political stability remains elusive due to the persistence of electoral violence, ethno-religious crises, and challenges in democratic consolidation²⁰. The inability to achieve sustained political stability undermines both state survival and the nation-building process, as instability fosters distrust in governmental institutions and weakens national integration²¹.

Theoretical Framework

The Post-Colonial State Theory provides a critical lens through which to examine the challenges of state survival and the dilemma of nation-building in post-colonial Nigeria. This theory highlights the structural weaknesses and contradictions inherited from colonial rule, which continue to shape governance, political stability, and national identity formation²². The theory is particularly relevant in understanding how Nigeria's colonial past has influenced its contemporary struggles with legitimacy, institutional fragility, and ethno-regional tensions²³.

Post-Colonial State Theory: An Overview

The Post-Colonial State Theory emerged as a response to the governance crises in newly independent African, Asian, and Latin American states. Scholars such as Frantz Fanon (1961), Claude Ake (1985), Mahmood Mamdani (1996), and Partha Chatterjee (2004) argue that colonialism created states with weak institutional foundations, artificial borders, and elite-driven governance structures that failed to accommodate indigenous political and social dynamics²⁴. These scholars contend that the post-colonial state remains largely an extension of colonial administrative frameworks, with governance mechanisms that serve elite interests rather than fostering national unity²⁵.

Fanon²⁶, for instance, emphasizes that the post-colonial state often replicates the authoritarian tendencies of the colonial administration, leading to political alienation and resistance from marginalized groups. Similarly, Ake²⁷ argues that the failure of post-colonial African states to develop democratic institutions and promote inclusive governance has contributed to persistent instability and national disunity. Mamdani²⁸ extends this analysis by highlighting the bifurcated nature of the post-colonial state, where formal governance structures exist alongside deeply entrenched ethnic and communal identities, creating tensions between the central government and local populations.

Nigeria's struggle for state survival and nation-building aligns closely with the core arguments of the Post-Colonial State Theory. The colonial legacy of indirect rule institutionalized ethnic divisions and created a governance system that prioritized elite interests over mass participation²⁹. This structural flaw is evident in Nigeria's post-independence political trajectory, which has been marked by military coups, civil war, ethno-religious conflicts, and governance failures³⁰.

One of the central challenges to state survival in Nigeria is the persistence of secessionist movements, insurgencies, and armed conflicts, all of which reflect the inability of the post-colonial state to integrate its diverse population into a unified political entity³¹. The Nigerian Civil War (1967-1970), the Boko Haram insurgency, and the activities of militant groups in the Niger Delta underscore the fragile nature of the state and the deep-seated grievances stemming from economic and political exclusion³².

The dilemma of nation-building in Nigeria further illustrates the relevance of post-colonial state theory. Despite various attempts at fostering national cohesion—such as federalism, state creation, and the National Youth Service Corps (NYSC)—ethnic and religious identities continue to shape political allegiances and undermine the process of national integration³³. As Mamdani suggests, the failure of post-colonial states to establish inclusive citizenship structures has led to governance crises and persistent conflicts between the state and its constituent groups³⁴.

Challenges of State Survival in Post-Colonial Nigeria

Post-colonial state theory provides a useful lens through which to analyze the challenges of state survival in post-colonial Nigeria. This theory posits that many post-colonial states, including Nigeria, inherited weak governance structures, artificial national boundaries, and fragile institutions from their colonial rulers. These inherited weaknesses have made it difficult for post-colonial states to achieve political stability, national cohesion, and sustainable development.

One of the major challenges of state survival in Nigeria is political instability and governance crises. Since gaining independence in 1960, Nigeria has experienced multiple military coups, civil war, and persistent political turmoil³⁵. Post-colonial state theory suggests that these challenges stem from the way

colonial powers structured governance in Nigeria. The British colonial administration did not prioritize democratic institution-building but instead focused on maintaining control through indirect rule and authoritarian governance. As a result, post-colonial Nigeria inherited a political system that lacked a strong foundation for democracy and accountability. This historical legacy has contributed to recurring issues such as corruption, electoral fraud, and weak institutions, which continue to erode democratic principles and hinder state consolidation³⁶. The inability to establish a stable governance framework has made Nigeria vulnerable to political crises and has weakened its capacity to function effectively as a nation-state.

Ethnic and religious conflicts further complicate Nigeria's state survival. The country's ethnic and religious diversity, instead of being managed as a source of strength, has often led to violent clashes, such as the Biafra War (1967–1970) and ongoing sectarian conflicts in the North. Post-colonial state theory explains these conflicts as consequences of colonial policies that reinforced ethnic divisions. The British colonial administration employed a divide-and-rule strategy, which emphasized regional and ethnic differences rather than fostering national unity³⁷. By creating artificial political boundaries and privileging certain ethnic groups over others, the colonial administration planted the seeds of ethnic rivalry that persist today. In the post-colonial era, successive Nigerian governments have struggled to manage these tensions effectively. The lack of inclusive governance and equitable resource distribution has exacerbated ethnic and religious divisions, leading to frequent outbreaks of violence and political fragmentation³⁸.

Another significant challenge to Nigeria's state survival is the rise of insurgency and security threats. Groups such as Boko Haram, armed bandits, and separatist movements like the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB) have emerged as major threats to Nigeria's sovereignty. Post-colonial state theory attributes the rise of such groups to the failure of post-colonial states to establish strong, legitimate authority over their territories. Colonial governance structures were primarily designed to extract resources rather than to develop effective security and administrative institutions³⁹. As a result, Nigeria inherited a weak security apparatus that has struggled to combat insurgency and maintain territorial control. The persistence of Boko Haram and other militant groups reflects the failure of the post-colonial state to address deep-rooted socio-economic grievances, such as poverty, unemployment, and political exclusion, which fuel insecurity⁴⁰. Additionally, the colonial-era neglect of certain regions has left them underdeveloped, making them more susceptible to radicalization and violence.

In conclusion, post-colonial state theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding Nigeria's challenges of state survival. Political instability, ethnic and religious conflicts, and insurgency threats are all deeply rooted in the colonial legacy of weak institutions, artificial boundaries, and ethnic divisions. The inability of post-colonial Nigeria to overcome these inherited weaknesses has perpetuated instability and hindered national development. Addressing these challenges requires not only institutional reforms but also a critical examination of the colonial legacies that continue to shape Nigeria's political and security landscape.

The Dilemma of Nation-Building in Nigeria

One of the most significant challenges in Nigeria's nation-building process is the persistence of weak national identity and ethnic loyalty. Despite decades of independence, ethnic and regional identities remain stronger than national identity⁴¹. This phenomenon is a direct consequence of the colonial strategy of divide-and-rule, which emphasized ethnic and regional differences rather than fostering a sense of collective national belonging. During British colonial rule, Nigeria was administered as a collection of distinct regions with separate governance structures, which prevented the emergence of a unified national identity. After independence, efforts such as the federal character principle were introduced to promote inclusivity and equitable representation in governance. However, these measures have often been undermined by favoritism and ethnic-based appointments, further entrenching ethnic divisions rather than fostering national unity⁴². The result is a fragmented polity where loyalty to ethnic groups takes precedence over allegiance to the Nigerian state.

Economic inequality and marginalization are also deeply rooted in Nigeria's post-colonial realities. The economic disparities between regions have fueled resentment and secessionist tendencies, making national cohesion difficult to achieve⁴³. Post-colonial state theory explains this issue by pointing to the colonial economic structures that prioritized resource extraction over equitable development. During colonial rule, economic activities were concentrated in regions that benefited British economic interests, leaving other areas underdeveloped. This imbalance has persisted in post-colonial Nigeria, where resource allocation and economic policies continue to favor certain regions over others. The Niger Delta crisis, for instance, is a direct outcome of this economic marginalization, as the region, which produces the bulk of Nigeria's oil revenue, has historically suffered from environmental degradation and lack of development. Similarly, the high levels of poverty in Northern Nigeria stem from decades of neglect and inadequate investment in human capital development⁴³. These economic disparities reinforce regional grievances and weaken national unity, making nation-building an ongoing challenge.

Corruption and institutional weaknesses further complicate Nigeria's efforts at nation-building. Corruption has remained a pervasive issue, weakening state institutions and eroding public trust in governance. Post-colonial state theory attributes this to the nature of the colonial administration, which prioritized control and resource extraction over the development of accountable governance structures. The colonial bureaucracy was designed to serve the interests of the colonial elite rather than to foster transparency and public accountability. This legacy persisted after independence, as Nigerian leaders inherited a state apparatus that lacked strong institutional checks and balances. The result has been a governance system where corruption thrives, and anti-corruption agencies struggle to prosecute offenders effectively⁴⁴. This culture of impunity undermines state legitimacy and weakens the ability of institutions to promote national development.

In conclusion, post-colonial state theory provides a comprehensive explanation for Nigeria's nation-building dilemma. The persistence of ethnic loyalty over national identity, economic inequality, and institutional corruption can all be traced to colonial legacies that continue to shape the country's political and economic structures. Overcoming these challenges requires a fundamental restructuring of Nigeria's governance system, economic policies, and institutional frameworks to address the deep-seated issues inherited from colonial rule. Without such reforms, the post-colonial state will continue to struggle with the contradictions that hinder genuine nation-building and national integration.

Conclusion

The survival of the Nigerian state and its ongoing process of nation-building are inextricably linked, with the nation's future hinging on its ability to overcome a legacy of governance failures, deep-rooted ethnic divisions, economic marginalization, and persistent security challenges. Nigeria's post-colonial experience has been characterized by recurring episodes of political instability, weak institutions, and policies that have often favored narrow ethnic and regional interests over a cohesive national project. These systemic issues have contributed to a fragile state structure where governance is frequently undermined by corruption, electoral fraud, and patronage politics. Moreover, economic disparities—most notably the pronounced inequality between oil-rich regions like the Niger Delta and underdeveloped areas such as Northern Nigeria—have fueled resentment and, in some cases, even secessionist tendencies. The state's inability to address these economic imbalances has not only hindered sustainable development but has also aggravated social discontent and regional alienation.

Compounding these challenges is the persistent security threat posed by insurgent groups, militant movements, and organized banditry, which further erodes national unity. The inability of the security apparatus to effectively manage these threats has left the state vulnerable to both internal and external destabilizing influences. Equally critical is the erosion of a cohesive national identity, as ethnic and regional loyalties continue to overshadow a unified sense of citizenship, a situation that was largely institutionalized during the colonial era and remains unresolved. Without decisive and comprehensive reforms, Nigeria risks perpetuating the cycle of instability and fragmentation, thereby undermining its prospects for long-term sustainable development and national cohesion.

Recommendations

To break this cycle and set Nigeria on a path toward a more stable and integrated future, a multipronged reform strategy is essential:

1. Political Restructuring for Inclusivity: Decentralizing power and strengthening democratic institutions will help ensure fair representation and reduce ethnic favoritism in governance. Transparent elections and accountability mechanisms are crucial for fostering political stability.
2. Economic Policies for Regional Equality: Targeted investments in marginalized regions, economic diversification, and equitable revenue-sharing can help reduce poverty and unemployment. Supporting entrepreneurship and industrialization will promote sustainable economic growth.
3. Security Sector Reforms: Professionalizing security forces, improving intelligence gathering, and enhancing counter-insurgency strategies are essential for tackling insurgency and organized crime. Community policing and rehabilitation programs can aid long-term stability.
4. National Integration Programs: Civic education, cultural exchanges, and national dialogue initiatives can strengthen patriotism and diminish ethnic divisions. Promoting shared history and mutual respect will help foster a unified national identity.

Endnotes

1. Claude Ake. *A Political Economy of Africa*. London: Longman, 1981.
2. Toyin Falola and Matthew M. Heaton. *A History of Nigeria*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
3. Okwudiba Nnoli. *Ethnic Politics in Africa*. Dakar: CODESRIA, 1998.
4. Rotimi Suberu. *Federalism and Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001.
5. Claude Ake. *The State in Contemporary Africa: A Political Economy Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
6. J. Isawa Elaigwu. "The Challenge of Nation-Building in Nigeria." *Journal of Political Studies* 21, no. 1 (2013): 45-67.
7. Eghosa E. Osaghae. *Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998.
8. Peter P. Ekeh. "Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 17, no. 1 (1975): 91-112.
9. Toyin Falola and Matthew M. Heaton. *A History of Nigeria*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
10. Larry Diamond. "Nigeria: The Uncivil Society and the Descent into Crisis." *Journal of Democracy* 6, no. 2 (1995): 64-78.
11. Rotimi Suberu. *Federalism and Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001.
12. Okwudiba Nnoli. *Ethnic Politics in Nigeria*. Pan African University Press, 2008.
13. Kingsley A. Kalu. *State Power, Autarchy, and Political Conquest in Nigerian Federalism*. Lanham: Lexington Books, 2010.

14. Richard Joseph. *Democracy and Prebendal Politics in Nigeria*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.
15. Emmanuel O. Ojo. "Federalism and the Search for National Integration in Nigeria." *African Journal of Political Science* 14, no. 1 (2009): 123-145.
16. Kunle Rotimi. "Governance and Political Stability in Nigeria: A Historical Perspective." *African Research Review* 6, no. 1 (2012): 45-67.
17. Ayo Agbaje. "Federalism and the Crisis of Governance in Nigeria." *West African Review* 5, no. 2 (2004): 89-105.
18. Bolaji Akinyemi. "Corruption and Democratic Governance in Nigeria." *Journal of African Political Economy* 10, no. 3 (2011): 78-94.
19. Remi Anifowose. *Violence and Politics in Nigeria: The Tiv and Yoruba Experience*. Lagos: NOK Publishers, 2014.
20. Said Adejumobi. "Democratic Transition and Political Instability in Nigeria." *Journal of African Affairs* 115, no. 459 (2016): 302-320.
21. Adebayo Olayiwola. "Security Challenges and Political Instability in Nigeria." *African Journal of Security Studies* 8, no. 1 (2020): 55-72.
22. Frantz Fanon. *The Wretched of the Earth*. New York: Grove Press, 1961.
23. Claude Ake. *The State in Contemporary Africa: A Political Economy Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
24. Mahmood Mamdani. *Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of Late Colonialism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996.
25. Partha Chatterjee. *The Politics of the Governed: Reflections on Popular Politics in Most of the World*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2004.
26. Peter P. Ekeh. "Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 17, no. 1 (1975): 91-112.
27. Eghosa E. Osaghae. *Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998.
28. J. Isawa Elaigwu. "The Challenge of Nation-Building in Nigeria." *Journal of Political Studies* 21, no. 1 (2013): 45-67.
29. Okwudiba Nnoli. *Ethnic Politics in Nigeria*. Pan African University Press, 2008.
30. Rotimi Suberu. *Federalism and Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001.
31. Larry Diamond. "Nigeria: The Uncivil Society and the Descent into Crisis." *Journal of Democracy* 6, no. 2 (1995): 64-78.
32. Kingsley A. Kalu. *State Power, Autarchy, and Political Conquest in Nigerian Federalism*. Lanham: Lexington Books, 2010.

33. Emmanuel O. Ojo. "Federalism and the Search for National Integration in Nigeria." *African Journal of Political Science* 14, no. 1 (2009): 123-145.
34. Kunle Rotimi. "Governance and Political Stability in Nigeria: A Historical Perspective." *African Research Review* 6, no. 1 (2012): 45-67.
35. Eghosa E. Osaghae. *Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2002.
36. Atanda Adebayo and Ayo Agbaje. *Nigeria's Second Republic and the Challenge of Democratic Consolidation*. Ibadan: Heinemann, 2006.
37. Cyril Obi. *Nigeria's Political Economy in the 21st Century*. London: Routledge, 2010.
38. Wale Adebanwi. *Nation as a Metaphor: The State and the Politics of Nigeria's Sovereignty*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017.
39. Augustine Ikelegbe. *State and Society in Nigeria: Political Economy of Development*. Dakar: Amalion Publishing, 2005.
40. Peter Mbah. "Executive-Legislative Relations in Nigeria: The Presidency and the Assembly, 1999-2006." *Nigerian Journal of Social Sciences* 4, no. 1 (2007): 187-195.
41. J. Isawa Elaigwu. "The Impact of Existing Inter-Governmental Financial Relations on Effective Service Delivery at the Grassroots in Nigeria." *Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences* 3, no. 1 (2013): 1-18.
42. Warren Krafchik and Joachim Wehner. "The Role of Parliament in the Budget Process." *South African Journal of Economics* 66, no. 4 (1998): 512-541.
43. Henry Ndehjr. "Good Governance and the Challenges of Democratic Sustainability in Nigeria." *Journal of Public Policy Analysis* 1, no. 1 (2007): 1-15.
44. Ladipo Adamolekun. *Politics, Bureaucracy, and Development in Africa*. Ibadan: Spectrum Books, 2006.